

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/263,311	03/08/1999	JOHN J. KORMAN		1666
32127 75	590 06/19/2003			
VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC. C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSON 600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			SINGH, RAMNANDAN P	
MAILCODE HQEO3HO1 IRVING, TX 75038			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/263,311	KORMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
71 1444 1010 0 1 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Dr. Ramnandan Singh	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the communication apperent of the communication apperent of the communication apperent of the communication appears and the communication appears are considered as a second of the communication appears and the communication appears are considered as a second of the communication appears and the communication appears are considered as a second of the communication appears and the communication appears are considered as a second of the communication appears and the communication appears are considered as a second of the communication appears are considered as a second of the communication appears are considered as a second of the communication appears are considered as a second of the communication appears are considered as a second of the considered as	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 M	<u>farch 1999</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-24</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 March 1999</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	• , ,	` '				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		•				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	ion No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/263,311 Page 2

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings filed on 08 March 1999 are acceptable subject to correction of the informalities indicated on the attached "Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review," PTO-948. In order to avoid abandonment of this application, correction is required in reply to the Office action. The correction will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims.

Claim 2 recites a limitation "a disabling mechanism" on page 14, line 7. The disabling mechanism is not shown. A similar thing holds for claims 6, 23 and 24.

Further, claim 10 recites a limitation "ancillary equipment" on page 17, line 2. The "ancillary equipment" is not shown. Claim 11 also recites a limitation ,"a switching mechanism" on page 16, line 2. The ,"a switching mechanism" is not shown. A similar thing holds for claims 19 and 20.

Therefore, the "disabling mechanism" and the "switching mechanism" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2644

Specification

Content of Specification

- 3. (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- 4. The title of the invention is too long.

The suggested title is as follows:

TERMINAL BLOCK FOR CONNECTING CUSTOMERS TO ANY OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERIVICE PROVIDERS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. This is because this method claim is a <u>single means claim</u>. In re Hyatt, 708 F.2d 712, 218 USPQ 195 (Fed. Cir. 1983) . See MPEP 2181; pp. 2100-219 [8th Edition].

Art Unit: 2644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

8. Claim 1 is ejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bruhnke [US 5,903,643].

Regarding claim 1 Bruhnke teaches a multifunctional interface box (i.e. terminal block) for connecting a customer with any of plurality of telecommunications service providers without inserting or removing any wires from the interface box [Figs. 2-4; col. 2, lines 5-11; col. 2, lines 24-29; Abstract].

Art Unit: 2644

9. Claim 2 is ejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stehlin et al [US 6,307,933 B1].

Regarding claim2, Stehlin et al teaches an apparatus for providing a plurality of providers of subscriber service signals with easy access to at least one subscriber premises line. The apparatus includes a plurality of connectors for being connected to at least one subscriber premises line and to incoming service lines from at least two service providers and the connectors permit either provider to disconnect from the subscriber premises line the incoming service line of the other provider [col. 2, lines 42-52; Abstract].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruhnke et al [US 6,477,248 B1].

Regarding claim 2, Bruhnke et al. teaches an interface device shown in Fig. 5, wherein the interface device has line access modules each connected to a respective station. A switching network **selectively** connects at least one of the line access

Art Unit: 2644

modules (i.e. service providers) to at least one of the station access modules (i.e.

customers). The switching network is controlled by a control unit in accordance with a

Page 6

predetermined sequence stored in the control unit or selected signals received from the

station through the station access nodules [col. 3, lines 9-23; Abstract]. Obviously, one

of the programs may include connecting a customer to any of the multiple service

providers, and thereby automatically excluding all the other service providers for that

customer.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 4-24 are allowed.

13. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- (i) Mullaney et al [US 6,028,928] discloses a subscriber line module that allows a telephone subscriber to selectively interconnect a subscriber telephone line with one of plurality of available telephone service providers [Figs. 1-4; Abstract];

Art Unit: 2644

(ii) Garver et al [US 6,246,749 B1] teaches a network interface unit and module

for connecting with one of a plurality of service providers.

(ii) Tuvy et al [US 5,832,078] teaches a multiple jack and enclosure apparatus

combination for connecting multiple service providers.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dr. Ramnandan Singh whose telephone number is

(703)308-6270. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Forester Isen can be reached on (703)-305-4386. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9314

for regular communications and (703)872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)306-

0377.

Dr. Ramnandan Singh

Page 7

Examiner

Art Unit 2644

June 14, 2003

FORFSTER W. ISEN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2/200